Hoot's declaration of nonviolence:

Please- do unto others as you would have them do unto you.

Luke-6:31

Please-love thy neighbor as thyself

Mark-12:31

According to Matthew- 5:38-42

Jesus said "Ye have heard that it hath been said that an eye for an eye and a tooth for a tooth but I say unto you that ye resist not evil but whosoever shall smite you on the right cheek turn to him the other as well."

I claim that there is no such thing as evil- there is only one form of Consciousness- that is Awareness. The antithesis of consciousness is the absence of Consciousness- known as Ignorance.

All souls are by nature pure beings of compassion. It is the disruption of the soul through ignorance that leads to ignorance. Acts done out of awareness lead to peace and harmony. Acts done out of ignorance lead to conflict and chaos.

In the bible and in many other scriptures there are two God's, one true God and one false god, both claiming to be the same one God. There is only one true God and that is the God of Compassion.

The god of retribution- of vengeance, of demands, and of judgment and of commandments is no god at all, but a false god.

There are no sins.

God does not judge or punish us for our sins.

God weeps for the unnecessary suffering that humans inflict upon themselves and each other.

But, God cannot relieve us of our burden for to do so would be to deprive us of free will- and free will is the seat of Consciousness- which Is God.

God= Consciousness= Compassion= Love.

There is no thing that is not Love. Hatred is Love that has become lost. Hatred is Love that has been shut up. Hatred is Love that has been repressed and is consequently coming out in a negative way.

Even hatred is the corrupted expression of Love.

There is a positive (beneficial) and a negative (harmful) aspect to all things.

Hatred is not separate from Love but it is the harmful aspect, the harmful expression of Love.

The false god is not separate from the true God but it is the negative aspect of the true God.

That which is infinite love contains all things.

The true God gives advice such as "Do onto others as you would have them do unto you" while the false god gives commandments such as "Thou shall not kill."

Yet the true God on the mountain describes the true nature of identity and the fact of the existence of Consciousness in "I Am that I Am."

The false god demands Genocide; an eye for an eye; and every jot and tittle.

In the old and in the new testaments and in many other scriptures references can be found which support an antithetical argument which amounts to Do unto others as they did onto you or as you think they did onto you or as they would do unto you or as you think they would do unto you, before they do it unto you.

This is the basis of the normalized malignancy of the IDEA of the right (of humans) to kill other humans in the name of; under the banner of the right to kill in self defense

This IDEA has become a self fulfilling prophecy.

This IDEA is the dominion of the false god.

Contrary to popular opinion, free will is not a multiple choice question.

There is really only one choice and that is Awareness- Consciousness- which is Compassion-which is Love- which is Life- which is Freedom. Choosing Consciousness promotes healing-harmony-balance;

And the understanding of interconnectedness.

The alternative to free will (which is the freedom to choose life) is the failure to choose consciousness. This is ignorance- to ignore consciousness.

Ignorance promotes conflict, disharmony, chaos, and unnecessary suffering.

No one chooses ignorance.

Ignorance is not a choice; it is the result of the failure to choose consciousness.

Ignorance is the default setting for humanity.

One might argue: but what about the guy who enlisted in the military and went off to fight for his country?

Wasn't that a choice?

I say nay.

Certainly it has the earmarks-the appearance of a choice.

But this is an illusion. It is not a choice but it is the result of the failure to choose- the one thingthe only thing that there is to choose. That is Awareness- Consciousness- Compassion- Love-Life.

So again- one might argue: but what about the just war? SUCH AS WWII?

Wasn't brute force necessary to defeat Hitl...

Again I say nay.

How do I say nay?

The idea that a single individual could be the sole conductor of the orchestra of the orchestration of the extermination is at best a gross oversimplification of history.

In reality for such an event to occur required the cooperation of tens of millions of participants. these participants who did not choose-did not make the one choice that there is to

make- but who were intimidated who did not choose; but who acted and consented to this action without this consent to action none of it could have happened at all.

In reality: it was Ukrainians who provided the brute force necessary to operate Sobibor-an extermination camp.

And it was the French police who rounded up the Jews for the Nazis in Nazi occupied France.

And it was the German Einsatzgrupen who consisted primarily of volunteers. Volunteers who were not coerced into conducting the roundups and the murders of Jews, Partisans and Gypsys in Poland and other places.

And it was the Arrowcross- the Hungarian Nazi party who rounded up the five hundred thousand Jews of Hungary who were exterminated in the last days of Auschwitz.

And it was Winston Churchill who refused to allow Jewish refugees into Palestine.

And it was the Americans who only allowed 997 Jewish refugees into the United States for the entire duration of the war. These refugees were kept interned in concentration camps at Oswego, New York for the entire duration of the war.

The most *powerful* weapon against violence is a non-weapon-non-violence.

? If you do not believe me?

Let's take a look at Denmark for example:

The Danish army quickly fell to the Nazis and the Third Reich implemented their standard program of coercion with reprisals as in the dramatized killing of those who resisted in order to bring the rest into compliance; submission.

But this did not work in Denmark as it had in other countries.

Quite the contrary:

The harder the Nazis tried to clamp down on the Danish people, the more the people resisted.

In unity and in solidarity with each other- the Danish people defeated the Nazi occupation.

98% of Danish Jews survived the exterminations while on the average roughly 50% of the Jews of Europe were exterminated.

Unified civil disobedience worked where military force failed. No amount of brute force was able to bring the Danish people into submission.

This is not to belittle the efforts of the Allied soldiers who defeated the Axis powers.

? Consider this:

The U.S. did not enter WWII for the purpose of protecting Jews from tyranny. The liberation of the Jews from the camps was a byproduct.

A byproduct for which Americans were able to emerge as humanitarian heroes. Certainly extraordinary efforts were made in the aftermath of the war but this is not why we entered the war.

And by the way Abraham Lincoln was not an abolitionist and the Civil War was not fought for the purpose of freeing the slaves.

And Stalin, another hero orchestrated the starving to death of seven million Ukrainians in the first and second decades of the 20th century in order to modernize Russia by replacing small family farms with large corporate farms.

? So I ask you this philosophical question?

How can it be that Winston Churchill who reneged on the Balfour Declaration by not allowing Jewish refugees into Palestine?

And America who built an economy on the backs of slaves and becoming the primary distributor of cotton to Europe and who claimed territory through a systemic process of genocide and attrition.

How can we claim that by defeating the Third Reich that we are great humanitarians who stopped tyranny while the tyranny continues albeit in more sophisticated; more socially acceptable format even as we speak?

Brute force against tyranny never stops tyranny- it just replaces one form of tyranny with another.

The science of eugenics is alive and well and living in America.

The IDEA of the right to kill in self defense is the pretense upon which all wars have been and are being waged- each side always believing that they are the ones who are right and justified and that they are acting in self defense against the other side.

This IDEA has become a malignant tumor festering in the collective consciousness of the One being that is called humanity.

The enemy as if there is such a thing is not eachother- it is this IDEA of the right to kill in self defense.

An IDEA which is only and IDEA but is taken by the vehicle of a mass hallucination to be a factan incontrovertible fact at that.

This IDEA is the vessel for the supreme form of dominance of humans over other humans- that is killing.

All other lesser forms of dominance and of the right to dominate in any way are complicit in the ongoing genocide that is prevalent everywhere in the world today.

The idea of inherent rights that justify dominance and domination is a plague upon the soul of humanity.

Nonviolence may be a narrow path upon a precipice but it is not nearly so precipitous as the path that is defined by the perpetuation of the idea of the right to kill in self defense and the justification of any and all lesser forms of dominance and domination.

Nonviolence precludes and is antithetical to the idea of the right to kill in self defense.

Energy can be neither created nor destroyed but it may change form.

That force of energy which animates my body into life does not cease to exist at the moment of my death, therefore there is no death, therefore as existence is eternal, nothing can ever become lost.

It hath been carved in awweternal flame upon the mountain of the City of the Light of Consciousness, 1 Each shall be the guardian of the other's solitude. 2 That which is Unique in You is the God in You. 3 How can it serve you to preserve your body if it is at the price of your soul?

As Hopi Grandfather David Monongye once said "Even if they are coming just to kill us there is nothing we can do lest we become them."

Were I to find myself in a predicament wherein it appeared to me as if the only way to preserve my earthly shell would be to deprive another of their earthly shell?

Were I to do so would render my life worthless and meaningless to me from that point on for I would have forfeited the primary principle of my existence and I would have become that which afflicts me and that which afflicts me would become my legacy.

Furthermore, such an appearance would be an illusion perpetrated by the false god of ?what?

Would you now challenge me?

So, say unto me, what is someone is trying to kill my daughter?

My daughter already knows that I would be ready and willing to die to protect her but I would not kill to protect her.

Does everything happen for a reason?

Is that a fact?

Or is it another idea?

I have an idea- that the idea that everything happens for a reason is no more nor no less true than it's equally valid or invalid counterpart: shit just happens.

This is not an either/or question.

These are complementary aspects of each other.

A corollary to this is:

Thought forms create reality

Reality creates thought forms

Which is true? Which is false? Are these facts? Or are they ideas?

So you have heard the expression: don't carry a gun if you are not prepared to use it.

How about don't build a nuclear bomb......

Oops- so much for the nuclear deterrent

Is there an inevitable outcome predicated on this idea?

How about dive into this idea: go deep and see where it takes you. So how about this for an idea:

How about don't carry around the belief; the thoughtform- that you have the right to kill in self defense maybe- if you do not wish to find yourself wallowing in the illusion of the kill or die scenario.

This is how Ideas which are just Ideas but are assumed to be fact become self fulfilling prophecies.

Ideas like the right to kill in self defense lead to action- specific actions based upon the idea of the right to kill in self defense.

Different Ideas will lead to different actions.

Actions have results; consequences, and the result of actions based upon ideas that are not facts but are taken to be incontrovertible facts- proof of factuality.

Like for example: those people were trying to kill us and so we had to kill them.

Therefore because we won that proves that God was on our side and we were right and justified and they lost so that means that they were wrong and God was not on their side.

Any thoughtform will self validate by *proving* itself over and over again ad infinitum as long as and only as long as it is assumed to be a fact.

Any time that we assume any ideas to be facts we become slaves to those ideas.

Once we understand that the thing which we assume to be facts are only our ideas we can take back our power.

Now we can do a reality check. We can divinate correct action.

Action which is rooted in compassion.

Once we realize that the right to kill in self defense is only an idea- we are no longer trapped in that idea and we can have a better idea.

To be continued....

Hoots individual declaration of independence; sovereignty; individuality and individual religious freedom; I declare that I am an independent and free thinking sovereign individual.

I claim my unalienable right to have, cultivate, enjoy and practice my direct connection with source.

And I claim my unalienable right as a sovereign individual to pray, meditate or worship in my own way provided it is not harmful to others.

That which is Unique in Me Is the God in Me.

The aforestated constitutes the practice of my spirituality and the practice of my spirituality is my religion.